



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	N NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/659,363 09/12/2000		9/12/2000	Troy Eric Echols	Echols2	4841		
24283	7590	09/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PATTON I			CHANG, R	CHANG, RICHARD			
1660 LINCO SUITE 2050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER,	CO 80264	,	2663				
				D. TE M. H. ED. 00/00000	DATE MAILED: 00/00/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/659,36	3	ECHOLS, TROY ERIC					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Richard C	hang .	2663					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no eve ply within the state d will apply and wi ute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) day: Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	June 2005.							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is n	on-final.	,					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposiț	ion of Claims								
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are	rawn from co			į				
Applicat	ion Papers		·						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2004</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a considered t	re: a)⊠ acce le drawing(s) b ection is requir	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)			•					
1) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 or No(s)/Mail Date	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments and amendments with respect to claims 1-15 filled 06/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regardiong claim 1-15 In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "The packet-based terminal device addressing system presumes that the owner of the packet-based terminal device also owns a circuit-based terminal device and therefore reuses the point of presence address of the circuit-based terminal device.) are not explicitly recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Newly added Claims 16-18 have been fully considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 16-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding to Claims 16-18, the phrase "responsive to said calling party selecting <u>a</u> one of said called party's circuit-based terminal" (claim 16-18, line 5) is mistyped and unclear. This should be corrected as "responsive to said calling party selecting one of said called party's circuit-based terminal" to be consistent with the disclosure.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US patent No. 6,490,274 ("Kim").

Regarding claims 1, 6 and 11, Kim teaches a method and system for internet (254) cable phone (208, packet based terminal device) telephony service over internet protocol (IP) (addressing system) for enabling a calling party using a public switched telephone network (PSTN, 260) phone (256, circuit based terminal device), which is addressable via a telephone number, to initiate a communication connection to a called party who is using an IP addressable cable phone (208, a packet-based terminal device, which is addressable via an IP address) (See Fig. 2) comprising

directory information base (DIB, 218 as data storage means), for storing data in as a memory indicative of a correspondence between at least one IP address assigned to a 1ST cable phone (208, said called party's packet-based

Application/Control Number: 09/659,363

Art Unit: 2663

terminal device) and a corresponding PSTN telephone number assigned to 1ST cable phone (208, said called party's circuit-based terminal device),

1st headend unit (202 as terminal device location means), responsive to receipt of data from said calling party indicative of said called party's telephone numbers corresponding to 1ST cable phone (208, said called party's circuit-based terminal device), for retrieving data from DIB (208, said memory indicative of said IP address) assigned to a 1ST cable phone (208, called party's packet-based terminal device), and

1st router (216 as communication connection means), for establishing a communication connection between 1ST PSTN phone (256, said calling party's circuit-based terminal device) to 1ST cable phone (208, said called party's packet-based terminal device) (See Fig. 2, Col. 3, lines 53-67),

wherein the 1st Internet telephony Gate ITG (220) interacting with above units also performs as local exchange carrier function.

Regarding claims 2, 7 and 12, Kim further teaches that 1st headend (220 as terminal device locator means) for transmitting said IP address assigned to 1ST cable phone (208, said called party's packet-based terminal device) to at least one 1st Internet telephony gateways (ITG, 220 as packet-based communication service) to locate 1ST cable phone (208, said called party's packet-based terminal device).

Regarding claims 3, 8 and 13, Kim further teaches that

1st headend (220 as inquiry response means), responsive to receipt of a message from one of said at least one 1st ITG (220 as packet-based

communication services) indicative of a presence of 1ST cable phone (208, said called party's packet-based terminal device) on said one of said at least one 1st ITG (220 as packet-based communication services), for extending a communication connection from 1ST PSTN phone (256, said calling party's circuit-based terminal device) to said one of said at least one 1st ITG (220 as packet-based communication services).

<u>Regarding claims 4, 9 and 14,</u> Kim further teaches that 1st DIB (218 as directory means) for storing a set of data comprising data indicative of an IP address assigned to each of a plurality of a of 1ST cable phone (208, called party's packet-based terminal device).

Regarding claims 5, 10 and 15, Kim further teaches that 1st headend unit (202 as destination selection means) for providing said calling party with a list of 1ST cable phones (208, said called party's packet-based terminal devices), and

1st ITG (220 as service identification means), responsive to said calling party selecting a one of 1ST cable phones (208, said called party's packet-based terminal devices), for identifying a 1st ITG (220 as packet-based communication services) serving of 1ST cable phones (208, said called party's packet-based terminal devices)

(See Fig. 2 and Fig. 4, Col. 5, lines 3-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if no art rejection can be applied.

Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art along or in combination fails to teach or make obvious the following limitations:

"means, responsive to a calling party, connected to PTSN via a Local Exchange Carrier serving said calling party, dialing the telephone number assigned to said circuit-base terminal device of said called party, for transmitting said telephone number to a Local Exchange Carrier serving said calling party; and

means for providing said calling party with a list of said called party circuit-based terminal devices and said called party's packet-based terminal devices; and means, responsive to said calling party selecting one of said called party's circuit-based terminal devices and said called party's packet-based terminal devices, for identifying a communication service serving said selected one of said called party's circuit-based terminal devices and said called party's packet-based terminal devices." as recited in the independent claims 16-18.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Klic rkc

Richard Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2663

> - / RICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER